

Monday, March 6, 2023

Lecture # 21

MSSC 6000

①

Announcements

- * HW 3 due Wednesday 11:59pm
- * Midterm Exam, Wednesday in class
(up to backtracking, no branch + bound)
- * Friday, office hours 10am-10:50am in my office,
no lecture
- * Normal Office Hours this week, today 1pm-2pm
in my office

Topic 8 - Branch and Bound



General Procedure: \swarrow search space \rightarrow returns best sol in S (2)

function $bb(S, best_sol = None)$: OR best-sol, whichever is best
 (assume maximizing)

if $best_sol$ is $None$:
 $best_score = -\infty$

else:
 $best_score = score(best_sol)$

if $|S| = 1$: (no more branching, we're at a complete solution)

candidate = the one thing in S

value = $score(candidate)$

if value > $best_score$:
 return candidate

else:
 return $best_sol$

$S_1, S_2 = branch(S)$ (could be more than 2)

if $bound(S_1) > best_score$: (we have a chance of improving in S_1)
 $best_sol = bb(S_1, best_sol)$
 $best_score = score(best_sol)$

if $bound(S_2) > best_score$:

$best_sol = bb(S_2, best_sol)$
 $best_score = score(best_sol)$
 return $best_sol$

3

If the # of branches varies (like Job Assignment Problem) you can do the last part in a loop.

branches = branch(S)

for branch in branches:

if bound(branch) > best_score:

best_sol = bb(branch, best_sol)

best_score = score(best_sol)

Relaxation

Let's try to do B+B on the Knapsack prob.

items	weight	value
1	8	13
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

Capacity: 14

Need two things:
 Branching
 Bounding

Branching - some os with backtracking

(4)

Item 1 is in or out

Item 2 is in or out

⋮

items	weight	value
1	8	13
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

Bounding:

Suppose we have put item 1 out and item 2 in. How

can we find an

upper bound on the best we could possibly do completing this solution?

Notes: * Greedy solutions are lower bounds.

* "Add up the values of all remaining items" is an upper bound but a pretty useless one (weak)

* We want our upper bound to be fast to compute.

The trick is relaxation: sometimes it's

easier to find an UB if you adjust (5) the problem to be more permissive.

items	weight	value		
1	8	13	0.5	4/6.5
2	3	7	1	3/7
3	5	10	1	5/10
4	5	10	0.4	2/4
5	2	1	0	0
6	2	1	0	0
7	2	1	0	0

14 / 27.5

Fractional Knapsack:

You are allowed to take fractions of an item.

Theorem: An optimal (and greedy) solution to the Fractional Knapsack problem can be found by:

- (1) order the items by $\frac{\text{value}}{\text{weight}}$
- (2) take items from the top in full until you can't anymore
- (3) take whatever fraction you can of the next item

We won't prove this, but you should think about it until you believe it.

items	weight	value	density		Capacity = 14	(6)
1	8	13	1.625	(4)	12.5%	13/8
2	3	7	2.333	(1)	100%	7
3	5	10	2	(2)	100%	10
4	5	10	2	(3)	100%	10
5	2	1	0.5	(5)		
6	2	1	0.5	(6)		
7	2	1	0.5	(7)		

28.625

(If capacity = 10, you get 21 which beats the 20 for regular knapsack)

So Fractional Greedy = Fractional Optimal
 \geq Regular Optimal

We can therefore get an UB for the regular knapsack by computing the greedy fractional solution on whatever items remain.

capacity = 10

items	weight	value
1	8	13
2	3	7
3	5	10
4	5	10
5	2	1
6	2	1
7	2	1

Partial solution:

Item 1 out

Item 2 in

capacity remaining = ~~7~~ ~~20~~

value = 7 + 10 + 4 = **21**

three different greedy runs

Greedy search:

①

②

③

④

14, 10, **18**

best score = ~~18~~

20

